

10/523268 REC'D 1 3 DEC 2004 PCT WIPO

## **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

		See Notification	on of Transmittal of International			
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	Preliminary E	xamination Report (Form PC1/IFEA/410)			
XY-LPRESSPCT International application No.	International filing date (day/mu	onth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
	01 August 2003 (01.08.2003)		01 August 2002 (01.08.2002)			
PCT/US03/24460 01 August 2003 (01.08.2003) International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC						
International 1400 COLN 1/20 33/48	COTK 1/00: A61B 17/43; A61D	7/00 and US Cl.:	435/2, 40.51; 530/827, 852; 600/33, 35			
IPC(7): A01N 1/02; G01N 1/30, 33/48, Applicant	COAL MOO, MAIL					
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
Examining Authority and	is transmitted to the applicant	, according				
2. This REPORT consists o	f a total of Sheets, including	ng this cover she	eet.			
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
These annexes consist of a total of sheets.						
3. This report contains indi	cations relating to the following	ig items:				
I Basis of the report						
II Priority	II Priority					
III Non-establish	ment of report with regard to	novelty, inventive	ve step and industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity	I ack of unity of invention					
V Reasoned stat	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
			-			
, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	VI Certain documents cited  VII Certain defects in the international application					
	vations on the international ap					
VIII Certain obser						
		Date of completion	on of this report			
Date of submission of the demand			<b>A</b>			
01 March 2004 (01.03.2004)	0	1 December 2004	(01.12.2007)			
Name and mailing address of the IPE Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/U	A/US	Authorized officer	1 /Sullawy			
Commissioner for Patents		Thaian N. Toa				
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-14	.50 g	relephone No. 57	1-272-0500			
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	1 1000)					

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)



International application No.	
PCT/US03/24460	

	sis of the report	7			
1.	th regard to the elements of the international application:*				
	the international application as originally filed.				
	the description:	-			
	pages 1-24 as originally filed				
	pages NONE , filed with the demand pages NONE , filed with the letter of	- 1			
	pages NONE, filed with the letter of	- }			
	the claims:	l			
	pages 25-35 , as originally filed pages 25-35 , as originally filed	- [			
	pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement)	1			
	pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of				
	the drawings:	- 1			
	pages 1-2 , as originally filed pages NONE , filed with the demand	ļ			
	pages NONE, filed with the letter of				
	the sequence listing part of the description:				
	pages NONE, as originally filed	j			
	nages NONE filed with the demand	- 1			
	pages NONE , filed with the letter of	- 1			
2	The state of the s	ļ			
	With regard to the language, all the elements market above were distincted under this item.  anguage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:				
	hese elements were available or furnished to this Audiority in the volume of the course (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).	١			
	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	.			
	the language of publication of the international appropriate the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules).				
		1			
1	55.2 and/or 55.3).  With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the	ł			
	nternational preliminary examination was carried out on the cash of the same				
	contained in the international application in printed form.				
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.				
1	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in a				
international application as filed has been furnished.					
	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence li				
1	has been furnished.				
1	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
	the description, pages NONE				
	the claims, Nos. NONE				
	the drawings, sheets/fig NONE  This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to	go			
	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since any beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).** beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	to in			
	beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Kille 10.26)).  * Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17). this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17). **  **Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.				



## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International appli PCT/US03/24460

<b>v</b> .	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii	i) with regard to novelty	, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting suc	h statement	
1.	STATEMENT Novelty (N)	Claims 1-61 Claims NONE	YES NO
	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims NONE Claims 1-61	YESNO
	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-61 Claims NONE	YES NO

Claims 1-61 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Claims 1-16, 24, 25, 27, 28, 30, 31, 34, 37, 39-54, 58-60 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Penfold et al. (Comparative Medicine. 1998. pages 323-327) in view of Beyhan et al. (Theriogenology. 1999. pages 35-48). Penfold teach flow cytometric sorting of X and Y sperm and computer assisted analysis for measuring the sperm motility.

Particularly, they teach that bull X and Y sperm were flow sorted. The semen was collected from three bulls. The sperm were in sheath fluid containing PBS. They further teach that the sperm were stained using Hoescht 3342 fluorochrome. Beyhan teach the in vitro fertilization of bovine oocytes utilizing spermatozoa sorted for the X or Y chromosome. Accordingly, in view of the combined teachings, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to generate a sperm cell insemination sample in a bovine species, as presently claimed. One of skill in the art would have been motivated to make specific modifications, as presently claimed embodiments of particular buffers, because it would be routine to optimize such conditions. One of ordinary skill would have been sufficiently motivated to do so because of the art-recognized goal of producing gender preselected livestock.

Claims 1-9, 17-24, 26, 27, 29, 30, 32, 33, 35, 36, 38, 41, 43-61 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Rathi et al. (Biol. Of Reproduction. 2001. Pages 462-470) in view of Buchanan et al. (Theriogenology. 2000. pages 1333-1344). Rathi teach the flow cytometric sorting of stallion sperm which utilizes merocyanine staining to assess capacitation status. Buchanan teach the sorting of X and Y chromosome bearing stallion sperm utilizing Hoechst 33342. These sorted sperm were then used to inseminate mares. Accordingly, in view of the combined teachings, it would have been obvious for one of skill in the art to generate a sperm cell insemination sample in an equine species, as presently claimed. One of skill in the art would have been motivated to make specific modifications, as presently claimed embodiments of particular buffers, because it would be routine to optimize such conditions. One of ordinary skill would have been sufficiently motivated to do so because of the art-recognized goal of producing gender preselected livestock.